AGENDA ITEM

# WEST DEVON BOROUGH COUNCIL

AGENDA ITEM

NAME OF COMMITTEE	Audit Committee
DATE	7 July 2015
REPORT TITLE	INTERNAL AUDIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014/15
Report of	Head of Devon Audit Partnership
WARDS AFFECTED	All/Corporate

## **Summary of report:**

This report summarises the work undertaken by the Council's Internal Audit Team during 2014/15, reviews the performance of the Internal Audit service, and provides an audit opinion on the adequacy of internal control. The report is particularly relevant to the preparation of the Authority's Annual Governance Statement which is required under the Accounts and Audit (England) Regulation 2011.

This report is to inform members of the principal activities and findings of the Council's Internal Audit team for 2014/15 to the 31<sup>st</sup> March 2015, by:

- Providing a summary of the main issues raised by completed individual audits:
- Showing the progress made by the section against the 2014/15 annual audit plan, reviewed by this Committee in April 2014; and
- Providing an opinion on the adequacy of the Councils control environment.

#### **Financial implications:**

There are no direct financial implications, the internal audit costs for the year were as budgeted.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS:

That the Audit Committee notes the internal audit opinion that the Council's systems contain a satisfactory level of internal control.

That members note the satisfactory performance and achievements of the Internal Audit Team during 2014/15

#### Officer contact:

#### For further information concerning this report, please contact:

Robert Hutchins Head of Devon Audit Partnership 01803 861375 robert.hutchins@swdevon.gov.uk

Lisa Buckle, S.151 Officer – 01803 861413

#### 1. BACKGROUND

1.1 The Charter for Internal Audit was presented to the Audit Committee in April 2014 and covers:

Purpose, Authority and Responsibility; Independence; Audit Management; Scope of Internal Audit's Work; Audit Reporting; and Audit Committee.

1.2 The Audit Strategy was updated for 2014/15 and was approved by the Audit Committee in April 2014. It covers:

Objectives and Outcomes; Opinion on Internal Control; Local and National Risk Issues; Provision of Internal Audit; and Resources and Skills.

#### 2. Assurance Statement

- 2.1 In carrying out systems and other reviews, Internal Audit assesses whether key, and other controls are operating satisfactorily within the area under review, and an opinion on the adequacy of controls is provided to management as part of the audit report.
- 2.2 Final audit reports will, if applicable, include an action plan which identifies responsible officers, and target dates, to address control issues identified during a review.
- 2.3 Details of Internal Audit's opinion on each audit review carried out in 2014/15 have been provided to relevant members of the Senior Leadership Team to assist them with compilation of their individual annual governance assurance statements.

Overall and based on work performed during 2014/15, and that of our experience from previous years audit, Internal Audit is able to provide reasonable assurance on the adequacy and effectiveness of the Authority's internal control framework.

# 3 Key Audit Findings 2014/15

- 3.1 As stated above we are, overall, able to provide reasonable assurance on the internal control framework. During the year a number of audit assignments have been completed for which a "fair" audit opinion has been provided (please refer to appendix B for definition of "fair").
- 3.2 We set out below some of the key issues of concern identified. It should be noted that agreed management actions plans are in place to address the weaknesses, and we consider that if such actions are completed promptly then the control issue will be addressed. The majority of findings have previously been reported at audit committee meetings during the 2014/15 year.
- 3.3 Main Accounting System & Budgetary Control
  We have downgraded our Audit Opinion from last year as some controls
  over the main accounting system and budgetary control have not been
  actioned on a timely basis throughout the year, although we are aware
  that efforts were underway to bring these up to date before the year
  end.

We understand that this is largely due to the resource pressures experienced by those officers operating the controls, due to a significant re-organisation of staff and responsibilities during the second half of 2014/15.

- 3.4 Creditor Payments (previously reported)
  Our conclusion was that the creditors system is fulfilling the purpose for which it is intended. Invoices presented to the Council are being processed and paid. However controls can be further improved to both receive the full benefit of a more automated system for processing invoices and to reduce the risk of incorrect payments being made.
- 3.5 Payroll (previously reported)

  The Payroll section is able to meet its objectives in providing accurate payments to staff in a timely manner. There were a number of issues identified where operational controls should be improved.
- 3.6 Council Tax (previously reported)

  The system is operating with generally satisfactory controls but there are still some areas where these can be improved, some relating to previously reported issues.
  - At the time of the audit management stated that tasks were not being completed due to other demands on staff resources. Managers need to ensure that key tasks are completed promptly and effectively.
- 3.7 Business Rates (NDR) (previously reported)
  The system is operating with generally satisfactory controls but there were some areas that required improvement including:-

- Limited separation of duties linked to the need to consider procedures for the timely and efficient review of long term suppressions;
- Management and review of the suspense account within the Council Tax system;

# 3.8 Benefits (previously reported)

Our work during 2014/15 has highlighted some other areas where the controls over the management of Benefits could be further strengthened, the most significant of which were:

- Users access to the system needs to be reviewed, particularly in light of a number of staffing restructures; and
- The Benefits Technical Team are already investigating ways to simplify the reconciliations for each benefits payments run. Current procedures, whilst not wrong, are complex and time-consuming and rely on the knowledge of a single officer should any discrepancies arise.

## 3.9 Benefits Debtors (previously reported)

We recognise that, in the majority of cases, action has been undertaken by officers to identify and to recover housing benefit debt in line with policy and procedures.

However, we are advised by managers that the effectiveness of procedures is hampered by the restricted functionality of the housing benefit debtors system.

#### 3.10 Computer Audit

Generally, arrangements are in place to ensure that the Councils' IT services are delivered in a controlled environment, with procedures in place to manage access, system changes, loss of systems in the event of an emergency, assets etc. However with significant changes having taken place to the Councils' IT systems, working arrangements and staff in recent months, many of these arrangements may no longer be appropriate or effective.

There are also some areas where further improvements could be implemented to strengthen the resilience of the ICT service and controls over associated systems.

#### 3.11 Risk Management

There is a control framework in place, but some of the areas reviewed were not found to be adequately controlled. In these areas risks are not well managed and require controls to be strengthened to ensure the achievement of system objectives.

3.12 Attached to this report are a number of appendices which provide further information with regards the work completed by internal audit in 2014./15:

**Appendix A** presents a summary of the audit work undertaken together with each audit opinion.

**Appendix B** provides a summary of the key findings from audit reports issued since the last audit committee (12 March 2015).

**Appendix C** provides a brief summary of unplanned work and the results from the work.

**Appendix D** provides a summary of feedback from auditees relating to audits carried out during the year.

#### 4. PROVISION OF INTERNAL AUDIT AND PERFORMANCE – 2014/15

#### Audit Plan 2014/15

4.1 The 2014/15 audit plan was presented and accepted by the Audit Committee at their meeting of April 2014.

Impact of Local and National Risks (including T18 Transformation)

4.2 The audit plan is continuously reviewed and updated to reflect emerging risks, and these are incorporated either through the contingency days or by changes to the plan, depending on the significance.

### Progress against the Plan

- 4.3 Progress against the 2014/15 Internal Audit Plan is summarised at **Appendix A**. In summary, the key elements of the plan were completed.
- 4.4 The councils transformation programme (T18) had a direct impact on the internal audit team, with the resources available for the shared internal audit provision for Devon and South Hams councils reduced to 2.6 whole time equivalents (from 2.9).
- 4.5 To meet this challenge it was decided that two whole time equivalent auditors be retained in-house and the management supplied externally. After a competitive process it was determined that Devon Audit Partnership would provide the management role.
- 4.6 The changes resulted in a reduction in audit days available and this resulted in a small number of reviews in the original 2014/15 being removed from the plan; these areas will be considered in later years. The subject areas removed have been previously reported, but included reviews of cemeteries and burials; grounds maintenance and drawing office.

#### Resourcing

4.7 Audit resources are affected by sickness and other unforeseen events. Sickness relating to officers within the combined internal audit team equates to 10 days in the period April 2014 to 31<sup>st</sup> March 2015.

4.8 It is important to ensure that the team has the right skills to enable them to perform audits effectively; in 2014/15 8 days training was been provided to the audit team.

# Non Compliance with Contract or Financial Procedure Rules

4.9 There are no significant issues to bring to the attention of the Committee for 2014/15.

# Fraud, Corruption, Bribery and Whistle Blowing

- 4.10 Counter fraud arrangements are a high priority for the Council and assist in the protection of public funds and accountability. The Audit Commission runs a national data matching exercise (National Fraud Initiative NFI) every two years. In October 2014 a number of data sets of information were provided to the Audit Commission and a list of potential matches have now been received. This data is currently being reviewed by management and appropriate action is being taken.
- 4.11 The Council became aware of two potential frauds involving Council Tax refunds, one for each authority. The fraud related to a person paying their annual Council Tax for a new property, using a potentially fraudulent payment method, and then subsequently requesting a refund stating they had vacated the property. Revenue staff have been made aware of the issue and additional controls were agreed between Audit and Revenues to ensure similar cases are only refunded back using the original payment method.

#### Performance Indicators

4.12 There are no national performance indicators in place for internal audit; however the team monitor against local performance indicators as follows:-

Local performance indicator	2014/15	2014/15
	Target	Actual
Percentage of audit plan	95%	100%
commenced		
Percentage of audit plan	95%	100%
completed		
Actual audit days as a	95%	98%
percentage of planned		
Customer satisfaction	90%	100%
(percentage of		
customers stating that		
service is "good" or		
"excellent")		
Draft reports issued	90%	100%
within target days		
Average level of	2%	1%
sickness		
Outturn within budget	Yes	Yes

It is very pleasing to note that auditees considered that the team provided a good or excellent service. Further details of customer feedback can be found at Appendix D.

#### Internal Audit – Shared Service

4.13 The following has been achieved this financial year:

Working with Devon Audit Partnership (DAP)

 The MKi audit management system has been installed on the PC's of the internal audit team. Staff are becoming familiar with the system and formal training was provided in April.

#### Conformance with Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS)

4.14 The Internal Audit Team conforms to the requirements of the PSIAS for its internal audit activity. The purpose, authority and responsibility of the internal audit activity is defined in the audit charter which was approved by the Audit Committee in April 2014. The Head of Devon Audit Partnership maintains a quality assessment process which includes review by audit managers of all audit work. Independent external assessment of Devon Audit Partnership (DAP) provides assurance of compliance against the Institute of Internal Auditors Quality Assessment & Public Sector Internal Audit Standards.

#### 5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 Statutory Powers: **Accounts and Audit Regulations 2011.** 

#### 6. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6.1 None, within existing budgets.

#### 7. RISK MANAGEMENT

7.1 The risk management implications follow this table:

Corporate priorities engaged:	All/Corporate
Statutory powers:	Accounts and Audit Regulations 2011.
Considerations of equality and	No specific equality and human rights
human rights:	issues arising from this report.
Biodiversity considerations:	There are no specific biodiversity
-	issues arising from this report.
Sustainability considerations:	There are no specific sustainability
	issues arising from this report.
Crime and disorder implications:	No specific crime and disorder issues
	arising from this report.

Background papers:	CIPFA Local Government Application Note for the united Kingdom Public Sector internal Audit Standards 2013; CIPFA Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government 2006; and SHDC 5-year Audit Plan 2010/11 to
	2014/15.
Appendices attached:	Appendix A: Progress Against the 2014/15 Internal Audit Plan Appendix B: Audit Assignment Opinions 2014/15. Appendix C: Unplanned Audit Work 2014/15 – Summary of Results. Appendix D – analysis of auditee feedback 2014/15

# STRATEGIC RISKS TEMPLATE

			Inh	erent risk st	tatus			
No	Risk Title	Risk/Opportunity Description	Impact of negative outcome	Chance of negative outcome	Risk score a direction of trave	on	Mitigating & Management actions	Ownership
1	Opportunity to Make the Best Use of Scarce Audit Resource	Audit work completed in line with the audit plan and to the required quality standards will ensure that the external auditor gains assurance from the work of internal audit. This will result in no additional charges being requested to carry out the audits required to allow him/her to issue the certificate and opinion on the Council's accounts, including for the Annual Governance Statement.	-	-		<b>⇔</b>	A risk based audit plan directs scarce audit resources towards areas of high risk to the Council.	Head of Devon Audit Partnership
2	Inappropriate Use of Scarce Audit Resource	The directing of scarce audit resources away from areas of high risk may undermine the opinion provided to the Council by the Chief Internal Auditor on the System of Internal Control.	2	2	4	<b>‡</b>	Risk based audit plan, reviewed by senior managers and members, and updated as appropriate through the year.	Head of Devon Audit Partnership

			Inh	erent risk st	tatus			
No	Risk Title	Risk/Opportunity Description	Impact of negative outcome	Chance of negative outcome	Risk score direc of tra	e and tion	Mitigating & Management actions	Ownership
3	Links with External Audit	The external auditor may gain no assurance from the work of internal audit, potentially resulting in requests for additional charges to carry out the audits required to allow him/her to issue the certificate and opinion on the Council's accounts, including for the Annual Governance Statement.	2	2	4	<b>\$</b>	Regular liaison with the external auditor.  Risk based audit plan, reviewed by senior managers and members, and updated as appropriate through the year.  Regular monitoring of progress by the S.151 Officer and the Audit Committee.	Head of Devon Audit Partnership
4	Assurance for the Annual Governance Statement	The Council's Annual Governance Statement cannot be signed if Internal Audit fails to complete the work set out in the approved risk based audit plan due to unforeseen circumstances.	2	2	4	<b>\$</b>	Regular monitoring of performance by the S.151 Officer and the Audit Committee.  Audit approach adheres to the appropriate professional standards.  Closer links with our neighbouring Council's audit team will provide reasonable assurance that higher risk audits are covered each year without fail, should significant resource issue arise.	Head of Devon Audit Partnership

Direction of travel symbols  $\P$   $\P$ 

Projects agreed in the Audit Plan	Planned Number	Fieldwork started	Issued in draft	Management comments	Finalised	Opinion	(finalised	l reports	only)	Comments
	of Days			received		Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
MAS & Budgetary Control	4	•		•	•					See commentary below
Creditor Payments	2	•			•			•		
Payroll	5	•		•	•			•		
Council Tax	6	•		•	•			•		
Business Rates (NDR)	6	•		•	•			•		
Benefits	7	•		•	•					
Housing Benefit Debtors	2	•		•	•					
Treasury Management	2	•			•					
Main Financial Systems	34									
Recruitment	0	х	Х	Х	х	х	х	Х	х	Was 2 days. Removed from Plan.
Community Safety and Emergency Planning	2	•	•	•	•					
Private Sector Housing Renewal	4	•			•					
Car Parking	4	•	•	•	•		•			
Capital Expenditure & Receipts	0	х	Х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	Was 4 days. Removed from Plan.
ICT / Computer Audit	3	•		•				•		See commentary below
Local Welfare Support	0	•								Was 2 days. Removed from Plan.
Use of Internet and Email	3	•		•	•					
Development Control	4	•								See commentary below
Data Quality incl. Pls	5	•			•					

Projects agreed in the	Planned	Fieldwork	Issued	Management	Finalised		Opinion	(finalised	l reports	only)	Comments
Audit Plan	Number of Days	started	in draft	comments received		-	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
Risk Management	3	•	•						•		See commentary below
Corporate Governance System of Internal Control (SIC) & Annual Governance Statement (AGS)	5	•	•	•	•		-	-	-	-	Review of the Code of Corporate Governance presented to June 2014 Audit Committee under separate cover. Reports to the July and September 2014 Audit Committee for review and approval of the AGS.
Shared Services	5	•		•	•						
Sustainability	4	•		•	•			•			
T18 Transformation Programme	5			•	•						See commentary below
Specific Counter Fraud Work	3	•		•	•						
Follow Up of Previous Year's Audits	5	•	•	•	•		-	-	-	-	
Contingency (Unplanned)	5	5 days used	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	Minor control and governance issues, advice on financial controls and procurement procedures given to service officers at all levels and contribution to various draft policies & strategies.  • Audit Commission fraud survey; • Letters to Grant Thornton; • Advice on new Temporary Accommodation Charging Policy; • T18 various; • Counter fraud publicity; • Additional individual internet and e-mail monitoring as requested my managers.
Other Systems & Audit Work (Revised)	60										

Projects agreed in the	Planned	Fieldwork	Issued	•	Finalised	Opinion (finalised reports only)			ised reports only) Comments		
Audit Plan	Number of Days	started	in draft	comments received			Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
Management & Supervision	8	8 days used	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	Planning; Monitoring; Supervision; File Review; Preparing Reports for, and attending, the Audit Committee; Audit Committee workshop; Meetings with the Section 151 Officer; Meetings with the Section 151 and Monitoring Officers; Liaison with the external auditor; etc
External Management & Supervision	8	8 days used	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	Preparing monitoring reports and presenting to committee. Audit plan for 2015/16.
Total (Revised)	16										
Overall Total (Revised)	110										

# Planned Audit 2014/15 - Final Reports

The following tables provide a summary of the audit opinion and main issues raised in the reports issued to managers. In all cases (unless stated) an action plan has been agreed to address these issues.

# **Opinion Definitions**

#### **Excellent**

The areas reviewed were found to be well controlled; internal controls are in place and operating effectively. Risks against the achievement of objectives are well managed.

#### Good

The majority of the areas reviewed were found to be adequately controlled. Generally risks are well managed but a few areas for improvement have been identified.

#### Fair

There is a control framework in place, but some of the areas reviewed were not found to be adequately controlled. In these areas risks are not well managed and require controls to be strengthened to ensure the achievement of system objectives.

#### Poor

Controls are seriously lacking or ineffective in their operation. No assurance can be given that the system's objectives will be achieved.

# Planned Audit 2014/15 – Final Reports

Subject	Audit Findings	Management Response
Main Accounting	Audit Opinion	
System & Budgetary Control	Fair	
(Final report)	Conclusions	
	We have downgraded our Audit Opinion from last year as some controls over the main accounting system and budgetary control have not been actioned on a timely basis throughout the year, although we are aware that efforts were underway to bring these up to date before the year end.	Bank Reconciliation - this was partly due to resource pressures and partly due to the fact that in 2014/15
	We understand that this is largely due to the resource pressures experienced by those officers operating the controls, due to a significant re-organisation of staff and responsibilities during the second half of 2014/15.	both Councils changed their bank accounts to move to Lloyds Bank. There were complications with the uploading of bank files into the main accounting system which had a knock on impact on the bank
	We were able to confirm that the agreed actions to the 2013/14 internal audit reports have been implemented or are under way.	reconciliation process. This has been rectified and in 2014/15 all bank reconciliations balanced to zero. Currently daily reconciliations are fully reconciled
	The main issues where action can be taken to further strengthen controls include:	unless the automatic ICT downloads prevent this from happening. With effect from May 2015, the
	The bank reconciliation - the balancing of the daily and cumulative reconciliation has fallen behind at both authorities;	bank reconciliation process for both Councils has been aligned and are now carried out in the same way.
	Ensuring that all reconciliations of control accounts are undertaken on a timely basis, that they are reviewed by a second officer and that these actions are evidenced;	Control and Suspense Accounts - officers were aware of the issues and additional temporary resources were brought in to assist with this before
	Ensuring that suspense accounts are regularly reviewed on a timely basis; and	the closedown of the 2014/15 Accounts. The second Monday of every month has been put aside to sign
	Ensuring that user access rights to the main financial information system remain up to date and that leavers are removed promptly.	off the control accounts that sit within the Service Processing Team.
		User Access Rights - this has been discussed at the Support Services COP meeting and all leavers will be promptly removed from information taken from the leavers form.

T18 Transformation Programme (Draft report)	Good  The majority of the areas reviewed were found to be adequately controlled. Generally risks are well managed but a few areas for improvement have been identified.  Conclusions  We have raised some issues in the detailed appendix to the report, the most significant areas being:  • There have been significant changes in senior management at both councils; in light of these changes, and the significance of the T18 programme on service delivery, we consider there is a needs to review project governance arrangements to ensure they meet expectations and are effective in practice;  • This is an ambitious transition programme and the Executive Directors need to ensure that there is a robust plan and sufficient and appropriate resources to deliver the programme on target and within the agreed budgets;  • Due to the requirement for delivery from a number of internal and external sources there is a need to ensure that the main technical contractor is able to deliver the agreed programme objectives to plan and that related third party contractors and the Council resources are in place;  • The Councils are entering the second critical phase (1b) with a significant volume of redundancies and potential recruitment required; good financial planning will be required to identify and control the delivery of the programme and budgeted costs; and  • The Council will need clear guidelines and controls on the processing and management of electronic data.	<ul> <li>Plans are in place to deliver the projects to agreed timescales. Resources are being monitored and managers are keeping SLT aware of requirements.</li> <li>The Programme Board meet regularly to review the project and agree plans in conjunction with the Programme Delivery Groups. A programme is in place to identify the key projects to be delivered, their priority and ensure effective resources are in place.</li> <li>Following phase 1b there has been an analysis undertaken of resources and this has been matched to the current programme plan.</li> <li>The financial position is subject to close scrutiny and is reviewed at the Programme Board. The current budget shows an overall underspend with some small overspends in areas such as furniture and consultancy.</li> <li>Good communication is now in place with the contractors and although there are some issues overall the delivery of work is to agreed timescales, within programme schedules and to agreed budgets.</li> <li>We are working on at present to deliver to staff and members a clear policy and procedure for them to follow regarding the evaluation and use of data in the future.</li> </ul>
Risk Management	Audit Opinion	
(Draft report)	Fair	
	There is a control framework in place, but some of the areas reviewed were not found to be adequately controlled. In these areas risks are not well managed and	

require controls to be strengthened to ensure the achievement of system objectives.

We have given this opinion based on the current system at the time of the audit and are aware that future changes to the system will further strengthen the control over the risks identified.

#### **Conclusions**

We have raised a number of recommendations that have been previously reported and we have identified that due to the significant changes undertaken by the Councils the overall commitment to managing current and emerging strategic and operational risks is in need of a fundamental review as monitoring and formal recording has declined.

Indeed the formal Operational Risk Register within Covalent has been rendered inactive because it was not being used, with reliance placed on old Service Blueprint risk registers. The future of the Service Blueprints is also in doubt due to the fundamental changes occurring across services.

The Corporate Risk Management Group, which provides a more detailed review of corporate risks and some operational risk, has not met for over 6 months and so has not fed issues into the risk management process.

We have raised the need to have a more central method of recording and reporting on operational risks, including projects, and the need to update Covalent as a reporting tool.

We have reported on issues, also identified in related 2014/15 audit reports, in relation to corporate business continuity planning and data protection and the risks these entail for the Councils.

The Councils Risk Management Strategy and Policy will need updating and new member, management and staff will need information and training on risk management.

 Consideration will be given to the Statutory Officers Panel picking up the areas that the Risk Management Group covered previously, to ensure coverage from a corporate overview perspective. Others can be invited along for that item for input (previously assisted by Insurance officers and H&S officer) once identified where this lies.

#### **ICT Audits**

(Draft report)

Installation & Healthcheck

Computer & Network Management and Security

**Change Control** 

Other Reviews including Internet Controls

### **Audit Opinion**

#### Fair

There is a control framework in place, but some of the areas reviewed were not found to be adequately controlled. In these areas risks are not well managed and require controls to be strengthened to ensure the achievement of system objectives.

#### Conclusions

Generally, arrangements are in place to ensure that the Councils' IT services are delivered in a controlled environment, with procedures in place to manage access, system changes, loss of systems in the event of an emergency, assets etc.

However with significant changes having taken place to the Councils' IT systems, working arrangements and staff in recent months, many of these arrangements may no longer be appropriate or effective.

There are also some areas where further improvements could be implemented to strengthen the resilience of the ICT service and controls over associated systems, the most significant of which are:

- The ICT Security Policy and associated documents need to be reviewed, updated, issued jointly across both authorities and brought to the attention of staff and members;
- The ICT Business Continuity Plan needs to be reviewed and updated to account for the new systems and service delivery arrangements under T18. This needs to include the resilience of IT systems, the Councils websites and IT-specific disaster recovery arrangements;
- The IT hardware and software inventory needs to be reviewed and updated, which we understand will be facilitated by the installation of more sophisticated service desk software during 2015/16;
- Staff need to be made aware of their responsibilities under the Data Protection Act, including when storing or transmitting data electronically; &
- The need to ensure formal procedures are in place for the management of change controls, which again we understand will be facilitated by the installation of more sophisticated service desk software during 2015/16.

 Data Protection - a new Joint Policy has now been completed and Member training (FOI/Data Protection) to be undertaken on 9 June for both Councils.

# **APPENDIX B**

Development	Audit Opinion
Management	Fair
(Draft Report)	Conclusions
	We were able to confirm that controls are in place and operating over the processing of planning applications received by Development Management, including income collection and expenditure incurred in delivery of the service.  We have, however, made some minor recommendations relating to available resource and the audit trails of some actions, as well as raising some issues relating to ordering and procurement which are outside the control of the Development Management service.

# Planned Audit 2014/15 – Work Complete (No Audit Report)

Subject	Comments
Compliance Review of the Code of Corporate Governance	Member report setting out the work done to review the Council's compliance with its Code of Corporate Governance, in line with the CIPFA/SOLACE guidance, presented to the June 2014 Audit Committee.  Issues raised are included in the Annual Governance Statement which was presented to the Audit Committee at the meeting of July 2014.
System of Internal Control (SIC), and Annual Governance Statement (AGS)	A report setting out the work done to enable the AGS to be completed in line with the CIPFA/SOLACE guidance was presented to the July 2013 Audit Committee.  The Council of the 22 <sup>nd</sup> July 2014 agreed to amend the constitution to allow the Audit Committee to approve the AGS on behalf of the Council. The AGS was published in September 2014, following an update to the Statement reported with this Audit Committee agenda.
Exemptions to Financial Procedure Rules	As and when required

# **APPENDIX D**

# **Analysis of Auditee Feedback 2014/15**

Number of surveys returned = 7	EXCELLENT	GOOD	ADEQUATE	POOR	<u>NO</u> RESPONSE
(A) AUDIT PLANNING					
Consultation on audit coverage	4	3	0	0	0
PERCENTAGE	57.1	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Relevance of stated objectives	5	2	0	0	0
PERCENTAGE	71.4	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
3. Fulfilment of scope and objectives	5	2	0	0	0
PERCENTAGE	71.4 	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
OVERALL PERCENTAGE (A)	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
(B) QUALITY OF AUDIT REPORT					
1. Clarity of report	5	2	0	0	0
PERCENTAGE	71.4	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
2. Accuracy of findings	7	0	0	0	0
PERCENTAGE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3. Presentation of conclusions	5	2	0	0	0
PERCENTAGE	71.4	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
4. Value of audit recommendations	4	3	0	0	0
PERCENTAGE	57.1	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
OVERALL PERCENTAGE (B)	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(C) COMMUNICATION					
1. Feedback of findings during audit	7	0	0	0	0
PERCENTAGE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2. Consultation by auditors	7	0	0	0	0
PERCENTAGE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3. Helpfulness of auditors	7	0	0	0	0
PERCENTAGE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4. Professionalism of audit team	7	0	0	0	0
PERCENTAGE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5. Prompt delivery of the audit report	7	0	0	0	0
PERCENTAGE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
OVERALL PERCENTAGE (C)	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PERCENTAGE OVERALL	83.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
<del></del>					